



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_4481
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING THE CHOICE OF WETLAND VALUATION METHODS IN THE NIGER DELTA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Authors may wish to present results from section 6.1. graphically. It will give the reader better information	This has already been attended to.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Authors may also considee follwing papers for consideratio: Costanza, R. et al. (1998). The value of ecosystem services: putting the issues in perspective. Ecological Economics 25, 67-72. Costanza et al., (1997).The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. Nature 387, 253-260. This is a very good paper. It is nearly ready for publication.	The authors examined the aggregate value of ecosystem services, they did not examine factors influencing the choice of wetland valuation methods. The authors did not examine factors influencing the choice of wetland valuation methods, but examined methods of environmental valuation, especially benefits transfer method.